

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,975	03/19/2004	Kuninori Ito	2003-1656A	6474
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			LEUNG, KA CHUN A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/803,975	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ka Chun Leung	3747	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI, 136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONITE, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	July 2007.					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	o. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 6-13 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are wit						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2004 is/are:		jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ın priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
	,	÷				
Attachment(s)	. * ;					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/12/2007</u> .	6) Other:	·				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendments filed on 07/12/2007.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted Claims 12 and 13 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The invention originally claimed and the newly submitted claims are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the originally claimed product can be used without registering an emissions credit. Additionally, the newly submitted claims are classified in class 700, subclass 286 and thus would require a different search.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, Claims 12 and 13 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 3747

Specification

3. The amendments to the Specification have been accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In particular, the specification does not disclose "a gas injection device that introduces the fuel mixture into the cylinder" (emphasis added). Instead, the specification and Figure 4 of the drawings disclose the gas injection device (10) being provided midway along inlet pipe (9) and the resulting fuel mixture of recovered and ventilated methane gas exiting the gas injection device (10) would travel along the inlet pipe (9) to the inlet valve (41) prior to being introduced into the combustion chamber (44). Thus the gas injection device (10) is disclosed as introducing the fuel mixture into the inlet pipe as opposed to "into the cylinder".

Art Unit: 3747

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-11 are allowed. Note that base claim 9 invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph since it includes "means or step plus function" limitations and meets the 3-prong analysis.

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record fails to disclose or render obvious the combination of features as claimed and in particular a means for adjusting a fuel mixture based on said means for diagnosing a combustion condition using a signal from the cylinder pressure detector and further a means for introducing the fuel mixture having a methane concentration of 3-5% and an air excess ratio not less than 2.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3747

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ka Chun Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-9963. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ka Chun Leung Examiner Art Unit 3747

STEPHEN K. CRONIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER